

COMPARING THE
EFFECTIVENESS OF
CURRENT TANKS

WHAT IS A TANK FOR?

- TO FIGHT OTHER TANKS (U.S. ARMY)
- TO BRING MACHINEGUNS TO BEAR ON THE ENEMY'S UNPROTECTED REAR, USING SPEED AND SURPRISE (GENERAL GEORGE PATTON)

INSIGHT: IS THE CANNON
THE TANK'S MAIN ARMAMENT?

SOME LESSONS OF COMBAT IN
EUROPE, RUSSIA, AND THE MIDEAST

- TANKS ARE BETTER USED TO ATTACK INFANTRY THAN OTHER TANKS
- THE MAJOR EFFECT OF TANKS ON INFANTRY IS PSYCHOLOGICAL, NOT PHYSICAL (I.E., MORAL, NOT MATERIAL) - BUT ONLY WHEN USED IN MASS
- TANKS USUALLY OVERWHELM GREEN INFANTRY, BUT RARELY PREVAIL AGAINST TANK-EXPERIENCED TROOPS

COMBAT-DERIVED EFFECTIVENESS CRITERIA FOR TANKS

| | | |
|-----|---|--|
| I | UNIT TACTICS/ COHESION/ TRAINING | 4,000 TECHNICALLY INFERIOR GERMAN TANKS ADVANCED 1,000 MILES IN 3 MONTHS AGAINST 23,000 RUSSIAN TANKS |
| | OPERATIONAL MOBILITY | TIME TO MOVE A TANK COLUMN FROM BIVOUAC TO OBJECTIVE (E.G., 50 MILES OVER DIRT ROADS) |
| II | NUMBERS AVAILABLE ON THE BATTLEFIELD | TANKS BROUGHT TO BEAR, EXCLUDING THOSE UNAVAILABLE DUE TO BREAKDOWN, REPAIR OF COMBAT DAMAGE, OR LENGTHY CREW TRAINING |
| | MACHINEGUN EFFECTIVENESS | ABILITY TO PUT BURSTS ON SURPRISE TARGETS RELIABLY, QUICKLY AND SUSTAINABLY (TIGERS WITHOUT MGs WERE SLAUGHTERED BY RUSSIAN INFANTRY) |
| III | FIREFIGHT MOBILITY | TIME FROM SURPRISE ATTACK (USUALLY ON ROAD) TO REACHING OFF-ROAD COVER AND ENVELOPING OR BYPASSING ATTACKER |
| | RATE OF KILL AGAINST MULTIPLE TANKS | TIME FROM DETECTION TO DESTRUCTION OF ANY ENEMY PLATOON (E.G., 3 TANKS) OR LARGER UNIT. |
| | CREW SURVIVAL: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● VS. INFANTRY ● VS. TANKS | ABSENCE OF FIRE, BLAST, OR FRAGMENT CASUALTIES (INCLUDING FROM ON-BOARD HYDRAULICS, FUEL, AMMO, OR INADEQUATE ESCAPE PROVISIONS) DUE TO: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● SIDE/REAR ROCKET ATTACK ● MINE UNDER TRACK ● 360° CANNON ATTACK |

COMBAT-DERIVED EFFECTIVENESS

CRITERIA: OPERATIONAL MOBILITY

| DESIRED EFFECT | NECESSARY EFFECTIVENESS CHARACTERISTICS | MEASURE |
|--|--|--|
| <p>MOVE A TANK COLUMN FROM FRIENDLY REAR TO ENEMY REAR FASTER THAN THE ENEMY CAN REACT</p> | <p>RELIABILITY UNDER BATTLE CONDITIONS</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● MILES BETWEEN FAILURES REQUIRING IMMEDIATE MAINTENANCE |
| | <p>GOOD <u>AVERAGE</u> (NOT MAX) ROAD SPEED -- INCLUDING STOPS FOR REPAIR AND FUEL</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● AVERAGE SUSTAINABLE SPEED OVER POOR ROADS |
| | <p>ABILITY TO OPERATE A DAY OR TWO WITHOUT TANKER TRUCK SUPPORT</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● ROAD RANGE AT ABOVE SPEED ● OVERWATCH ENDURANCE |
| | <p>ABILITY TO WADE RIVERS AND CROSS LOW CAPACITY BRIDGES</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● WADING DEPTH ● COMBAT WEIGHT |

COMPARATIVE EVALUATION:
OPERATIONAL MOBILITY

| MEASURE | XM-1 | M-60 A1 | T-62 |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------|
| MILES BETWEEN STOPS FOR NON-DEFERABLE MAINTENANCE | 34 ^{1/} (OT II) 43 ^{1/} (OT III) | 150-200 | 100-125 |
| HOURS PER STOP | 2.6 (OT II, III) | | |
| AVERAGE SUSTAINABLE SPEED ON POOR ROAD | 25 MPH ^{2/} | 20 MPH | 15 MPH |
| TYPICAL RANGE ON POOR ROADS | 127 MI (FORT KNOX) | 175-250 MI | 150-200 MI (WITH EXTERNAL FUEL) |
| FORDING DEPTH | UNTESTABLE DUE TO LEAKS | 1.2m | 1.4m |
| BRIDGE CROSSING: COMBAT WEIGHT | 62 TONS | 48 TONS (M-60) GREW TO 57 TONS (A3) | 44 TONS |
| TANKS PER RR FLATCAR (U.S.) | 1 | 2 | |

^{1/} OT II TEST DIRECTOR'S SCORING OF FAILURES REQUIRING IMMEDIATE MAINTENANCE.

^{2/} OT II AND III CONDUCTED AT AN ACTUAL AVERAGE OF 10 MPH.

COMBAT-DERIVED EFFECTIVENESS

CRITERIA: NUMBERS OF TANKS AVAILABLE

| DESIRED EFFECT | NECESSARY EFFECTIVENESS CHARACTERISTICS | MEASURE |
|--|---|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● BE ABLE TO OUTPRODUCE ENEMY IN TANKS IN PEACETIME | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● TANKS PER BILLION \$ OF PROCUREMENT |
| TEAR APART ENEMY'S ORGANIZATION WITH MULTIPLE TANK THRUSTS | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● BE ABLE TO OUTPRODUCE ENEMY IN WARTIME | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● SURGE PRODUCTION RATE |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● HAVE LARGE PERCENTAGE OF INVENTORY READY FOR COMBAT | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● DEADLINE RATE (%) IN TROOP USE |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● BRING LARGE PERCENTAGE TO BEAR AT THE FRONT | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● PERCENT SURVIVING 200 MILE FORCED MARCH |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● MINIMIZE PERCENTAGE IN PIPELINE FOR REPAIR OF COMBAT DAMAGE | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● AVERAGE DAYS TO REPAIR COMBAT DAMAGE |

COMPARATIVE EVALUATION:
NUMBERS AVAILABLE

| MEASURE | XM-1 | M-60 A1 | T-62 |
|--|--|---------------------------|--------------|
| TANKS PER BILLION \$ | 360 | 1,100 | 1,500 |
| PEAK PRODUCTION PER YEAR (PEACE) | 720 - 1,080 | 1,240 | 2,000-3,000* |
| % SURVIVING 4000 MILES WITHOUT POWERTRAIN OVERHAUL | 22% (APR 80) 19% (JAN 81) 15% (JUL 81) | 60% | 0% |
| OPERATIONAL AVAILABILITY | 43 - 47% (OT II, III) | 85% | |
| AVERAGE DAYS TO REPAIR COMBAT DAMAGE | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Armour can't be repaired below depot ● Damaged engines to CONUS | 1-2 days (Israelis-73) | |

*All Models of Medium Tanks.

COMBAT-DERIVED EFFECTIVENESS
CRITERIA: MACHINEGUN EFFECTIVENESS

| DESIRED EFFECT | NECESSARY EFFECTIVENESS CHARACTERISTICS | MEASURE |
|--|---|---|
| PLACE BURST INSTANTLY ON ANY INFANTRY ANTITANK THREAT -- EVEN AS CLOSE AS ROADSIDE DITCH | UNOBSTRUCTED VIEW OF THREATS | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● HATCH-OPEN FIELD OF VIEW ● BUTTONED-UP FIELD OF VIEW |
| | MG RELIABILITY | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● BURSTS BETWEEN JAMS |
| | NEARLY INSTANT RESPONSE | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● FREE MOUNT VS. SLOWER CUPOLA OR TURRET |
| | ABILITY TO FIRE CLOSE-IN AT ANY AZIMUTH | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● FIELD OF FIRE DIAGRAM ● NUMBER OF INDEPENDENT MG'S |
| | ABILITY TO SUSTAIN FIRE FOR EXTENDED FIREFIGHTS | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● NUMBER OF READY ROUNDS ● TOTAL ROUNDS ON-BOARD |

COMPARATIVE EVALUATION:
MACHINEGUN EFFECTIVENESS

| MEASURE | | XM-1 | M-60 | T-62 |
|---|------|--|--|--|
| HATCH-OPEN FIELD OF VIEW | | POOR (WIDE, FLAT TURRET) | GOOD | GOOD |
| BUTTONED-UP FIELD OF VIEW | | POOR | FAIR | POOR |
| MG RELIA- BILITY/ FAST RESPONSE | COAX | UNRELIABLE FEED (FIXED?) | GOOD* (M240) | GOOD |
| | CDR | SLOW/NOT AIMABLE | JAMS (M85) | GOOD |
| | LDR | GOOD MG/FLIMSY MOUNT | N/A | N/A |
| FIELD OF FIRE | | CDR = POOR | CDR = FAIR | CDR = FAIR |
| NUMBER OF ROUNDS | | .50 CAL = 1000 rds. 7.62 mm = 6000 rds. | .50 CAL = 1000 rds. 7.62 mm = 6000 rds. | 12.7 mm = 500 rds 7.62 mm = 2,000 rds. |

* M73 MG ON M-60/M60A1 IS UNUSABLE.

COMBAT-DERIVED EFFECTIVENESS

CRITERIA: FIREFIGHT MOBILITY

| DESIRED EFFECT | NECESSARY EFFECTIVENESS CHARACTERISTICS | MEASURE |
|--|--|--|
| MOVE QUICKLY FROM ON-ROAD EXPOSURE TO OFF-ROAD COVER IN ORDER TO ATTACK OR BYPASS THREAT | LEAVE ROAD QUICKLY THROUGH DITCHES, HEDGES, OR STONE WALLS | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● TIME TO STOP FROM COLUMN SPEED ● TRENCH-CROSSING DISTANCE ● TIME TO "DASH" 50 FEET |
| | TRAVERSE MUD WITHOUT BOGGING | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● SIDE-BY-SIDE TEST ● GROUND PRESSURE (POOR SURROGATE) |
| | TRAVERSE ROUGH GROUND QUICKLY | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● SIDE-BY-SIDE TEST ● SUSPENSION TRAVEL (SURROGATE) |

COMPARATIVE EVALUATION:
FIREFIGHT MOBILITY

| MEASURE | XM-1 | M-60 | T-62 |
|---|---|--------|--|
| TIME TO STOP | | | |
| TIME TO "DASH" 50 FEET <i>100</i> | 5 SEC* | 6 SEC | 5.5 SEC |
| TRENCH-CROSSING | 9 FT | 9 FT | 9 FT |
| SOFT-GROUND CAPABILITY: GROUND PRESSURE | 13 PSI | 11 PSI | 10 PSI |
| SPEED MANEUVERING OVER ROUGH GROUND: | SLOW (DUE TO FEAR OF THROWING TREAD) | FAIR | SLOW (DUE TO HARSH SUSPENSION AND TREAD THROWING) |

*SLOW DUE TO SLUGGISH ACCEL OF GAS
TURBINE AND INHERENT SLIP OF AUTOMATIC
TRANSMISSION

| COMBAT-DERIVED EFFECTIVENESS | | |
|--|--|---|
| CRITERIA: RATE OF KILL VS. MULTIPLE TANKS | | |
| DESIRED EFFECT | NECESSARY EFFECTIVENESS CHARACTERISTICS | MEASURE |
| MINIMIZE TIME FROM DETECTION TO DESTRUCTION OF AN ENEMY TANK PLATOON | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● QUICK TURRET TRAVERSE AND INSTANT RANGING/AIMING | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● TIME TO FIRST <u>HIT</u> FROM SURPRISE ENCOUNTER AT TYPICAL COMBAT RANGES ^{1/} (TROOP FIRINGS) |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● QUICK RELOADING AND RE-LAYING | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● TIME TO SUBSEQUENT <u>HITS</u> |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● NEAR-CERTAINTY OF "BREWING" UP A TANK FOR EACH HIT | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● PERCENT OF HITS RESULTING IN A BURNING TANK (USE ONLY LIVE FIRING RESULTS AGAINST COMBAT-LOADED TANKS) |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● ABILITY TO SUSTAIN FIRE | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● NUMBER OF ROUNDS ON-BOARD |

^{1/} DOCUMENTED TANK ENGAGEMENT RANGES ARE:

- EUROPE: 50% WITHIN 500 M; 10% BEYOND 1000 M
- NORTH AFRICA: 50% WITHIN 750 M; 10% BEYOND 1500 M
- ISRAEL: 300 M to 800 M

MAXIMUM POSSIBLE RANGES FROM NATO TERRAIN INTERVISIBILITY MEASUREMENTS ARE:

- CENTRAL EUROPE: 50% WITHIN 550 M; 15% BEYOND 1000 M

COMPARATIVE EVALUATION:
RATE OF KILL VS MULTIPLE TANKS

| MEASURE | XM-1 | M-60 | T-62 |
|--|---|---|---|
| TIME TO FIRST HIT (100M TO 1000M) | GOOD* | GOOD* | FAIR (SLOW TURRET INACCURATE ROUND) |
| TIME TO SUBSEQUENT HITS | FAIR** (~9 SECS) | GOOD (7 SECS) | VERY SLOW (15+ SECS) |
| % OF HITS LEADING TO FIRE/EXPLOSION | NOT TESTED: PROBABLY GOOD (WITH DU) | NOT TESTED: PROBABLY GOOD (WITH DU) | NOT TESTED: PROBABLY POOR TO FAIR |
| SUSTAINABILITY: NUMBER OF STOWED ROUNDS | 55 (40 WITH 120 MM) | 63 | 40 |

**WILL BE POORER WITH 120MM CANNON AND UNRELIABILITY OF CASELESS ROUND. RELOADING IS SLOW DUE TO POOR LOADER'S STATION LAYOUT.

*ONLY WITH BATTLESIGHTS; WITH RANGEFINDER/FIRE CONTROL, TIME IS UNACCEPTABLY SLOW.

COMBAT-DERIVED EFFECTIVENESS

CRITERIA: CREW SURVIVAL

| DESIRED EFFECT | NECESSARY EFFECTIVENESS CHARACTERISTICS | MEASURE |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| MINIMIZE CREW CASUALTIES DUE TO HITS | INVISIBILITY | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● HEIGHT ● PRESENTED AREA IN FT² (PARTICULARLY FOR TURRET IN DEFILADE) ● STRENGTH OF INFRARED SIGNATURE ● NOISINESS |
| | REDUCE FRAGMENT SPRAY AND AMMO/FUEL/HYDRAULICS FIRES CAUSED BY ROCKET (E.G., RPG-7) HITS ON SIDE AND REAR | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● % FIRES AND FRAGMENT CASUALTIES FROM LIVE FIRINGS AGAINST COMBAT-LOADED TANKS |
| | SAME FOR AP, HEP, AND HEAT SOVIET TANK ROUNDS FROM FRONT, SIDE, AND REAR | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● SAME ● SAME FOR MULTIPLE HITS BY AP AND HEP |
| | REDUCE BLAST AND FRAGMENT EFFECT FROM STANDARD SOVIET MINES | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● % BLAST OF FRAGMENT CASUALTIES FROM LIVE MINE DETONATION ● % SERIOUS IMMOBILIZATION (BEYOND TRACK DAMAGE) |

COMPARATIVE EVALUATION:
CREW SURVIVAL

| MEASURE | XM-1 | M-60 | T-62 |
|--|--|---|---|
| INVISIBILITY: TURRET PRESENTED AREA | POOR (WITH HIGHLY VISIBLE PRO- FILE) | FAIR | FAIR |
| INVISIBILITY: INFRARED SIGNA- TURE | LARGE, VERY VISIBLE EX- HAUST PLUME - CAN IGNITE WOODS | SMALL | SMALL |
| % CASUALTIES DUE TO ROCKET (RPG- 7) HIT ON SIDE/ REAR | NOT TESTED: PROBABLY POORER THAN M-60 FROM REAR 180°; MORE FLAMABLE HYDRAULICS THAN M-60 | NOT TESTED: HIGH BURN CASUALTIES IN ISREALI WAR-FLAMMABLE HYDRAULICS | NOT TESTED: LIKELY TO BE MUCH POORER THAN M-60 |
| % CASUALTIES DUE TO CANNON HIT ANYWHERE | SAME AS ABOVE | SAME AS ABOVE | SAME AS ABOVE |
| % CASUALTIES DUE TO MINE DETONA- TION | NOT TESTED | NOT TESTED | NOT TESTED |

OVERVIEW

- IS ONE XM-1 BETTER THAN THREE M-60S?
 - IS ONE XM-1 BETTER THAN ONE M-60?
-
- ONLY LIVE-FIRING TROOP TESTS OF MOBILITY, RATE OF KILL AND CREW SURVIVAL, USING THE XM-1 SIDE-BY-SIDE WITH THE M-60, CAN ANSWER THESE QUESTIONS.
 - ONLY SIDE-BY-SIDE TESTING CAN ENSURE CORRECTION OF THE XM-1'S MOST SERIOUS DEFICIENCIES.

SUMMARY OF XM-1 VS M-60

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| OPERATIONAL MOBILITY | XM-1 IS OPERATIONALLY SLOWER THAN M-60 DESPITE GOOD ROAD SPEED, BECAUSE OF POOR RANGE AND CRIPPLING UNRELIABILITY. |
| NUMBERS | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● XM-1 WILL WORSEN NATO'S NUMERICAL INFERIORITY. ● TO BUY XM-1 IS TO FOREGO THE OPPORTUNITY TO ACQUIRE 3 TIMES AS MANY TANKS. |
| MG EFFECTIVENESS | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● XM-1 HAS POORER MG FIELDS OF FIRE. ● XM-1 COAX WORKS; LOADER'S MG MOUNT BREAKS: COMMANDER'S MG IS "IMPOSSIBLE TO AIM". |
| FIREFIGHT MOBILITY | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● XM-1 ONLY SLIGHTLY FASTER IN SHORT DASHES DUE TO SLUGGISH ACCELERATION OF GAS TURBINE AND AUTOMATIC TRANSMISSION. ● XM-1 SLIGHTLY POORER THAN M-60 OVER SOFT GROUND. ● XM-1 SLOWER OVER ROUGH GROUND (FEAR OF THROWING TREAD) |
| RATE OF KILL VS TANKS | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● XM-1'S RATE OF KILL IS SLIGHTLY SLOWER THAN M-60 IF M-60 IS GIVEN THE 105 MM DU ROUND. ● LASER FIRE CONTROL, IF USED, FURTHER SLOWS RATE OF KILL. |
| CREW SURVIVAL | MOSTLY UNTESTED. XM-1 FIRE EXTINGUISHING MAY PROVE A STEP FORWARD (IF FIXED). HYDRAULIC FLUID MORE FLAMMABLE THAN M-60. PROTECTION AGAINST SIDE/REAR INFANTRY ROCKET (OR CANNON) ATTACKS APPEARS TO BE POORER THAN M-60. KE ROUNDS PENETRATE CHOBHAM ARMOR EASILY. |

A FEASIBLE TANK TO OUTFIGHT
AND OUTNUMBER THE T-72

| | |
|-------------|--|
| MOBILITY | <ul style="list-style-type: none">● 45 TONS● 1000-1200 HP DIESEL (AVAILABLE)● DIEHL TRACK, REPLACEABLE PADS● 7 WHEELS/5 SHOCKS/300 MM TRAVEL● 5 SPEED SYNCHROMESH GEARBOX● FUEL FOR TWO COMBAT DAYS |
| TURRET | <ul style="list-style-type: none">● MERKAVA MINIMUM PROFILE TURRET● FIXED COMMANDER'S STATION● DESIGN FOR HATCH-OPEN FIGHTABILITY |
| MACHINEGUNS | <ul style="list-style-type: none">● 7.62 COAX PLUS TWO 5.56 MM FREE MGs● 12,000 RDS FOR MGs |
| CANNON | <ul style="list-style-type: none">● 75 MM TO 90 MM DU @ 6,000 FPS (STONER)● 85 RDS STOWAGE● COULD ACCEPT 105 MM DU● BATTLESIGHT PLUS NON-COUPLED LASER |

A FEASIBLE TANK TO OUTFIGHT
AND OUTNUMBER THE T-72
(CONT'D)

| | |
|---------------|---|
| CREW SURVIVAL | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● SIDE/REAR FULLY PROTECTED AGAINST 12.7 MM AP AND RPG-7 (USING STANDOFF PLATES/SKIRTS) ● ANTI-SPALL LINER ● ENGINE IN FRONT ● ZERO SMOKE (AFTERBURNER) ● MINIMUM INTERNAL FUEL (FOAM); JETTISON-ABLE EXTERNAL FUEL (SELF-SEALING) ● NO AMMO IN TURRET; LOW SENSITIVITY AMMO, POSSIBLY IN WATER BATH ● ZERO FLAMMABILITY HYDRAULIC FLUID (E.G., BRAYCO OR FREON) ● AUTO FIRE EXTINGUISHERS ● LAYOUT FOR ZERO MINE CASUALTIES ● ALL FEATURES TESTED W/SOVIET AMMO VERSUS COMBAT-LOADED TEST TANKS |
| NUMBERS | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● UNIT PROGRAM \$ = \$800,000 ● PRODUCTION RATE = 2500/YR ● TWO COMPETING PRODUCERS ● SURGE PRODUCTION = 5000/YR ● ANNUAL BUDGET = \$2B (SAME AS M-1) |

END RESULT

- CRUSHING SUPERIORITY OVER THE T-72
- MOVE FASTER AND KILL FASTER THAN THE M-1 WITH LESS CREW CASUALTIES
- TRIPLE THE U.S. TANK PRODUCTION WITHIN SAME BUDGET