June 17, 2009

Via Electronic Transmission

The Honorable Timothy F. Geithner
Secretary
U.S. Department of Treasury
1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington DC, 20220

Dear Secretary Geithner:

It was reported to my office that there is some disagreement about the nature and authority of the Special Inspector General for the Troubled Assets Relief Program (SIGTARP) to operate and access documents within the Department of Treasury (Treasury). Specifically, my office received information that there was a dispute over certain Treasury documents that were being withheld from SIGTARP auditors on a specious claim of attorney-client privilege. It is my further understanding that this disagreement then escalated into broader questions about whether SIGTARP is subject to your direct supervision and direction, which may have been referred outside Treasury for an independent legal opinion.

The ability of Inspectors General to secure agency records subject to audit or investigation is essential to ensure the integrity and reliability of their work on behalf of Congress and the American People. The Inspectors General were created by Congress as a means to combat waste, fraud, and abuse and to be independent watchdogs ensuring that federal agencies are held accountable for their actions.

When I inquired about this matter, SIGTARP provided the attached memorandum, which appears to be a response to another memorandum prepared by Treasury. In light of the potentially serious questions this issue raises about Treasury's respect for the SIGTARP's independence and authority to access documents, please provide the Committee with a copy of the initial memorandum to which this memorandum is a response. Also, please provide a detailed and complete written explanation of the Treasury Department's response to this issue, including whether Treasury has sought an external legal opinion about the status and authorities of SIGTARP and, if so, why.
Thank you in advance for your assistance and I would appreciate receiving a response to this inquiry by June 24, 2009. Should you have any questions about this letter, please contact Jason Foster at (202) 224-3605. Please send all replies electronically to Brian_Downey@finance-rep.senate.gov. Thank you for your prompt attention to these important matters.

Sincerely,

Charles E. Grassley
Ranking Member

cc: The Honorable Neil M. Barofsky
Special Inspector General for the Troubled Asset Relief Program

Attachment
MEMORANDUM FOR: BERNARD KNIGHT, Jr., Acting General Counsel

FROM: NEIL M. BAROFSKY, Special Inspector General

SUBJECT: SIGTARP’s Position Within Treasury

As discussed below, SIGTARP believes that the Emergency Economic Stability Act of 2008 (EESA), Pub. Law No. 110-343, provides that SIGTARP is an independent entity within Treasury, that SIGTARP is not subject to the Secretary’s supervision, and that attorney-client privilege is not a bar to SIGTARP’s access to Treasury’s records or information.

SIGTARP Is An Independent Entity Within Treasury

1 We agree with this proposition, but hasten to add that SIGTARP has a quarterly reporting responsibility to Congress. See 12 U.S.C. § 5231(i). However, like with other Inspectors General and their semi-annual reporting responsibilities, see 5 U.S.C. App. 3 § 5, this does not render SIGTARP part of the Legislative Branch, see, e.g., NASA v. Fed. Labor Relations Auth., 527 U.S. 229 (1999).
Section 121 of EESA, as amended,\(^2\) sets forth numerous connections between SIGTARP and Treasury: (1) the SIGTARP name set forth at section 121(a) of EESA is taken directly from the program that Treasury is authorized to create and implement at section 101(a)(1) of EESA; (2) SIGTARP’s authority as originally described by section 121(c)(1) of EESA related exclusively to Treasury’s authorities under sections 101 and 102 of EESA; (3) SIGTARP is required by section 121(c)(3) of EESA\(^3\) to keep Treasury, as well as Congress, informed concerning fraud and other serious problems, abuses, and deficiencies in the TARP program; (4) section 121(f) of EESA, as amended, appears to contemplate that all SIGTARP audits will be addressed to the Secretary of Treasury, who must implement SIGTARP recommendations or certify to Congress why the recommended action is not necessary;\(^4\) (5) Treasury is SIGTARP’s source of funding, see 12 U.S.C. § 5231(j); and (6) Congress codified SIGTARP’s authorizing legislation, section 121 of EESA, within chapter 52 of title 12 of the United States Code, as opposed to within the third appendix to title 5 where the IG Act is codified. Treasury and SIGTARP have thus far operated as if SIGTARP is an independent entity within Treasury: (1) Treasury has provided SIGTARP space, equipment, and services, pursuant to section 6(c) of the IG Act; (2) Treasury circulated to SIGTARP for comment a draft Treasury Order 117-01, which plainly stated “[t]here is within the Department of Treasury an Office of Special Inspector General for the Troubled Asset Relief Program;” and (3) SIGTARP circulated to Treasury a delegation of subpoena authority, a regulation, and a system of records notice, all of which noted that SIGTARP is part of Treasury, and your office has concurred on the first two of these.\(^5\)

**SIGTARP Is Not Subject to Secretarial Supervision**

Initially, \[\text{comparison of SIGTARP to the Treasury Inspector General and the Special Inspectors General for Iraq and Afghanistan Reconstruction, is inapposite.} \]

Congress expressly placed the Treasury Inspector General and the Special Inspectors General for Iraq and Afghanistan Reconstruction under the supervision of the Secretary of Treasury and the Secretaries of State and Defense, respectively. see 5 U.S.C. App. 3 §§ 3(a), 8D, and 11(2); Pub. Law No. 108-106 § 3001(e); Pub. Law No. 110-1229(e), by so

\(^2\) As you are aware S.383 was enrolled on March 25, 2009. We understand that Treasury has recommended the President sign the bill, and, thus, for purposes of this memorandum we assume that S.383 has been enacted.


\(^4\) It is SIGTARP’s intention to copy Congress on all audit reports.

\(^5\) The systems of records notice has yet to be circulated to your office.
doing Congress demonstrated its ability to assign supervisory authorities to Inspectors General. Special or otherwise, when it intends to do so. Indeed, in the case of the Treasury Inspector General, in section 8D of the IG Act, Congress gave the Secretary the extraordinary power to stop audits and investigations; \(^6\) in the case of the Special Inspectors General for Iraq and Afghanistan Reconstruction, Congress granted dual supervisory authority to the Secretaries of State and Defense. \(^7\) Given that Congress knows how to assign supervisory duties, the omission of this language in EESA signifies its clear intention to preserve SIGTARP’s independence and not subject us to the Secretary’s ability to shut down an audit or investigation. \(^7\) Indeed, the legislative architect of section 121 of EESA, Senator Max Baucus, so stated in his statement in support of EESA’s enactment, “I designed the office of this inspector general to be truly Independent,” and not report to the Secretary. See Congressional Record, p. S10218 (Oct. 1, 2008).

Next, the “duties and responsibilities” under the IG Act, which EESA specifically impose upon SIGTARP, do not include sections 3(a) or 8D. \(^8\) Congress did not create SIGTARP within the IG Act; it placed us within chapter 52 of title 12 of the United States Code. Moreover, section 121 of EESA did not incorporate the entirety of the IG Act but rather only incorporated specifically referenced provisions: 3(b), 3(e), 4(b) and 6. \(^9\) To be sure, section 121(c)(3) of EESA provides, “the Inspector General shall also have the duties and responsibilities of inspectors general under the Inspector General Act of 1978”, but this does not suggest incorporation of section 8D. Section 8D does not relate to “inspectors general,” it – like sections 8, 8A, 8B, 8C, 8E, 8F, 8I, and 8K of the IG Act – relates to a single Inspector General: the Treasury Inspector General. See 5 U.S.C. App. 3 § 8D; see also 5 U.S.C. App. 3 §§ 8, 8A, 8B, 8C, 8E, 8F, 8I, and 8K. Nor does it suggest incorporation of section 3(a). Section 3(a) provides for the appointment of Inspectors General; establishes the supervision of Inspectors General; and limits the supervisory power with respect to individual audits and investigations. The first of these issues is controlled directly by section 121(b) of EESA, and the inter-related latter two (i.e., if there is no supervision, then there is no need for limitations on supervision) do not involve SIGTARP duties or responsibilities. Supervision by a third party, and limitations on that

\(^6\) See 5 U.S.C. App. 3 § 8D(a). EESA does not include constraints similar to those imposed upon the Treasury Inspector General, whose activities can be limited by a Secretarial determination that his activity may involve “deliberations and decisions on policy matters, including documented information used as a basis for making policy decisions” that the Secretary would prefer remain confidential. \(^6\)

\(^7\) Indeed, if Congress wanted the Secretary to supervise the internal oversight of the TARP program, then Congress did not need to create SIGTARP. The Treasury Inspector General, which had plenary audit and investigative authority with respect to Treasury’s programs, already existed, and Congress could have simply increased funding for that Office.

\(^8\) Likewise, they do not include section 5 of the IG Act. Nonetheless, as a matter of policy, SIGTARP has decided to adopt the reporting topics of section 5(a), and include them in appendices to our quarterly reports for the second and fourth quarters.

\(^9\) The maxim of statutory construction, *inclusio unius est exclusio alterius*, holds that if a statute or rule expressly includes, recites or enumerates matters, then matters that are not so included, recited or enumerated are excluded. See, e.g., *Campe v. Allstate Ins. Co.*, 2009 U.S. App. LEXIS 5460 (8th Cir. Mar. 17, 2009)
supervision, simply do not constitute “duties and responsibilities” of SIGTARP. More importantly, in the context of the IG Act, “duties and responsibilities” of Inspectors General are expressly established at section 4(a), not 3(a) or 8D. Section 4 of the IG Act is entitled “Duties and responsibilities: report of criminal violations to Attorney General,” and section 4(a) begins, “it shall be the duty and responsibility of each Inspector General.” Additionally, the legislative history underlying the IG Act fully supports the proposition that “duties and responsibilities” of Inspectors General are located at section 4(a), not 3(a) or 8D. See H.R. Rep No 95-584 pp. 11-13; and Sen. Report No. 95-1071 p. 27 (“Section 4 sets forth the duties and responsibilities of the Inspector and Auditor General”). A more expansive construction of EESA to include incorporation of sections 3(a) and 8D is simply not warranted in light of the express language and the obvious legislative intent described above.

Attorney-Client Privilege Is Not A Bar To SIGTARP’s Access To Treasury Information

the existence of an applicable privilege does not relieve Treasury from its responsibility under EESA, as amended, and the IG Act to cooperate with SIGTARP and produce records and information deemed necessary to the accomplishment of SIGTARP’s mission. Section 121(e)(4)(A) of EESA, as amended, authorizes SIGTARP “to conduct, supervise, and coordinate an audit or investigation of any action taken under [EESA] as the Special Inspector General determines appropriate.” Further, pursuant to sections 6(a)(1) and 6(a)(3) of the IG Act, which are expressly incorporated into EESA by section 121(c)(3), SIGTARP is guaranteed “access to all records, reports, audits, reviews, documents, papers, recommendations, or other material available to” Treasury and is authorized “to request such information or assistance as may be necessary” to satisfy our responsibilities. Moreover, in the latter regard, Treasury — “insofar as is practicable and not in contravention of any existing law” — is required to cooperate with SIGTARP, see 12 U.S.C. § 5231(e)(4)(A), and, in the event that it fails to do so, SIGTARP is required immediately to report to Congress, see 12 U.S.C. § 5231(e)(4)(B). Neither EESA nor the IG Act include an exception for privileged materials. Thus, it seems fairly certain that SIGTARP statutorily has unfettered access to Treasury’s privileged as well as non-privileged documents and information.


Please contact me or my Chief Counsel, Bryan Saddler, if you have any questions regarding this memorandum.